

Application No. 10/646,722

Reply to Office Action

REMARKS

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I for further prosecution. Reconsideration of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested. The available evidence does not indicate that there is serious burden on the part of the Examiner to search and examine all the groups together in one application. An overlap of search results is likely because the cucurbituril derivatives of formula (I) are common to all groups.

Applicants further elect, with traverse, Example 1 at page 26, line 5 to page 27, line 13 as the species.

A favorable decision is solicited. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, she is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Xavier Pillai, Reg. No. 39,799
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: January 13, 2006

M:\clients\YPL\Amo-ROA\402762 - ROA (1-13-06).doc